

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,)	
)	No. 15-8378MJ
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Phoenix, Arizona
)	August 28, 2015
Ahmed Mohammed El Gammal,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE BRIDGET S. BADE, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DETENTION HEARING

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

U.S. Attorney's Office

By: **Ann B. Scheel**, Esq.

40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

For the Defendant:

Mitchell Stein & Carey

By: **Michael T. Morrissey**, Esq.

2 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Transcriptionist:

Candy L. Potter

Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312

401 West Washington Street, Spc 36

Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151

(602) 322-7246

Proceedings Recorded by Electronic Sound Recording

Transcript Produced by Transcriptionist

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 THE CLERK: Magistrate docket 15-8378, United States
2 of America versus Ahmed Mohammed El Gammal, on for a detention
3 hearing.

4 MS. SCHEEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Ann Scheel on
5 behalf of the United States.

6 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Miss Scheel.

7 MR. MORRISSEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Michael
8 Morrissey on behalf of Mr. El Gammal, who is present.

9 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Morrissey.

10 And good afternoon, Mr. El Gammal.

11 This is the time set for a detention hearing.

12 Miss Scheel, how does the Government wish to proceed?

13 MS. SCHEEL: Your Honor, this case involves a
14 presumption under 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3)(C). There's a -- there
15 is a presumption of both flight and danger, and we would like
16 to proceed under that understanding.

17 THE COURT: All right. Do you have witnesses to call
18 or are you proceeding by proffer?

19 MS. SCHEEL: We will proceed by proffer.

20 THE COURT: Please go ahead.

21 MS. SCHEEL: Since this is strictly regarding the
22 detention hearing, I'm sure the Court's aware that the
23 defendant was indicted by the Southern District of New York
24 yesterday.

25 With regard to the issue of danger, if the

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 defendant -- if the Court finds the defendant is a danger to
2 the community, then there are no conditions sufficient to
3 ensure the safety of the community and a third-party custodian
4 is not an appropriate release condition.

5 Specifically, regarding danger we believe the Court
6 should consider the nature of the charges in this case, that
7 the defendant is accused of helping an Islamic extremist
8 American citizen travel to Syria to train and fight with ISIL.

9 Based on the Complaint and the facts in the Complaint,
10 the Court has sufficient evidence to evaluate the evidence that
11 this individual, this American citizen has traveled to Syria
12 and is currently training and fighting for ISIL.

13 Additionally, the defendant's criminal activity in
14 this case occurred mostly on-line through various social media
15 websites. That can be conducted almost anywhere. That
16 can -- that would be very hard for some -- for Pretrial
17 Services to control his access to social media if he is
18 released under any conditions.

19 The defendant appears to be highly motivated to
20 continue his support for ISIL. He continues to have contact
21 with the individual who did travel to Syria. I think that he
22 had contact with him as -- maybe two weeks ago on social media.

23 He has other overseas ties that are related to ISIL,
24 specifically a, I believe, co-conspirator 2 that was mentioned
25 in the Indictment who lives in Turkey.

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 He has posted numerous postings on various social
2 media communications that discuss his support of ISIL.

3 For example, the defendant has contacted this
4 co-conspirator who is currently in Syria, and that was in May
5 of this year.

6 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, I have an objection to
7 this, if it's outside the scope of the Indictment, being the
8 subject of a proffer. There's no way for the Court to test the
9 reliability of it. And I would ask if they wish to put that
10 evidence on that they proceed through a witness. If it is
11 within the four corners of the Indictment, then I don't have an
12 objection.

13 MS. SCHEEL: Well, Your Honor, this has to do with
14 defendant's dangerousness and his ability to flee.

15 THE COURT: And what's the basis of the information
16 that you're offering by proffer?

17 MS. SCHEEL: As stated in the Complaint, the FBI
18 obtained a search warrant of the defendant's Facebook and the
19 Facebook pages of his co-conspirators. They updated that
20 Facebook page as recently as yesterday. This is one of the
21 postings from his co-conspirator's Facebook page, it's a
22 communication between that co-conspirator in Syria and this
23 defendant.

24 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, then I would renew my
25 objection. It's not in the Complaint if it's based on an

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 updated search warrant that was yesterday, because the
2 Complaint is several days ago. The Indictment was yesterday.

3 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection. The
4 Government can proceed by proffer.

5 MS. SCHEEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 Specifically in the posts, which is in English, the
7 defendant asks the co-conspirator, is it easy to park a car
8 into your job parking lot?

9 And as the Court will find in the Complaint, I believe
10 it's paragraph 27 -- 26 in the Complaint, the co-conspirator
11 refers to his joining ISIL as a job. He references bosses and
12 supervisors in ISIL.

13 So the Court can consider whether or not this
14 discussion is his discussion about joining ISIL or coming to
15 Syria for ISIL.

16 The defendant -- or this co-conspirator responds, I
17 don't know, I need to ask my superiors at work first,
18 inshallah. But I think it's risky because the parking lot
19 these days is going under a lot of renovation, especially on
20 the north side. Khair inshallah, you planning on driving any
21 time soon?

22 The defendant responds, yes, mid August, inshallah.
23 Check Facebook more often.

24 THE COURT: So --

25 MS. SCHEEL: Then the defendant asks the

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 co-conspirator to move to an encrypted social media platform
2 called surespot.

3 THE COURT: When you refer to the co-conspirator, is
4 that the individual identified as CC-1 in the Complaint?

5 MS. SCHEEL: I believe that -- that's the one that's
6 in Syria, I think that's CC-1.

7 THE COURT: Well, there was an individual identified
8 in the Complaint as being in Turkey as CC-2. CC-1 was the
9 American citizen who was alleged to have left the United
10 States.

11 MS. SCHEEL: Yes. I'm referring to CC-1. CC-2 is a
12 resident of Turkey, he's an Egyptian citizen living in Turkey.

13 THE COURT: So this information you're proffering is
14 based on updated information received from a search warrant
15 with respect to recent communications with CC-1?

16 MS. SCHEEL: Yes. It was on May 14th of this year.

17 THE COURT: Was when the communication occurred?

18 MS. SCHEEL: Yes.

19 The indication was that he planned to travel to
20 Turkey/Syria area in mid August.

21 This is also confirmed by another posting of the
22 defendant's Facebook, which we also obtained a search warrant
23 on. It was communications with an individual named Marwa
24 El Gammal. It was between March 1st, 2015, and April 28th,
25 2015, where he indicates -- we believe it's a relative, because

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 the woman's name is El Gammal, the last name. Asking her if
2 she has a separate bank account in her name only. She
3 indicates that she does and wants -- he indicates he's planning
4 on coming for a visit and wants to transfer money to her
5 because he can only take \$10,000 with him across the border --
6 or no more than \$10,000. So he would like to transfer money to
7 her account.

8 Additionally, Your Honor, the defendant appears to
9 have acquired the means to carry out acts of violence. He has
10 obtained and the agents did seize a drone, a quadcopter drone,
11 at the time of the search warrant of his residence.

12 In December -- between December 2014 and February 2015
13 he exchanged social media messages with an individual not named
14 in the Complaint in which they discussed the remote control
15 planes being able to carry cameras.

16 He also indicated to this individual that the devices
17 are good for Gaza, G-A-Z-A, the Gaza Strip, and that they can
18 be loaded with bombs.

19 The defendant -- or the FBI also recovered 100 rounds
20 of nine millimeter ammunition and a magazine from the
21 defendant's house at the time of the search warrant.

22 THE COURT: Please state that again, Miss Scheel,
23 agents recovered?

24 MS. SCHEEL: 100 rounds of nine millimeter ammunition
25 and a magazine from the defendant's house.

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 THE COURT: Were there any firearms?

2 MS. SCHEEL: I'm sorry, what?

3 THE COURT: Were there firearms or just the
4 ammunition?

5 MS. SCHEEL: Just the ammunition. No firearms were
6 located.

7 With regard to flight, the defendant's postings that
8 I've already informed the Court about indicate that he does
9 wish to travel. He does wish to leave the United States. He
10 plans on taking his money. He has a substantial balance in his
11 bank account, as the Court's aware, that he intended to
12 transfer to a family member.

13 The defendant has no real family ties here. His
14 mother recently came from Egypt. She is a legal permanent
15 resident, but spends much of her year in Egypt.

16 His relatives, he indicated in the Pretrial Services'
17 report that he has a sister that lives in Dubai.

18 He apparently has some relative in Turkey that he
19 feels comfortable enough to transfer a substantial amount of
20 money to.

21 He has CC-2, who is living in Turkey that he is
22 continuing to have contact over social media.

23 And what appears to be as one of his few friends here
24 in the Phoenix area is planning on leaving and moving to
25 Palestine on Monday, and so he will have another contact

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 overseas.

2 His job is -- appears to be importing items from
3 China, something that he can do from anywhere. It does not
4 have to be done in Phoenix. It does not even have to be done
5 in the United States. And he makes a substantial living doing
6 that.

7 The defendant is a naturalized citizen of the United
8 States, but he also has dual citizenship in Egypt.

9 He has no property here. Apparently he did not renew
10 the lease on his apartment, it runs out either the end of this
11 month or the end of September. Although I'm assuming he can
12 rent month to month after that.

13 The FBI did uncover evidence of other bank accounts
14 that were not known to them at the time of the search warrant.
15 They have not had the opportunity to determine if there's any
16 other funds in those accounts.

17 Additionally, there is one additional post I'd like to
18 let the Court know about. It was on July 13th of 2014 from his
19 Facebook account. He was speaking to an individual --

20 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, may I have a continuing
21 objection to the proffer covering anything after the time of
22 the Indictment?

23 THE COURT: I believe she said it was 2014.

24 MS. SCHEEL: Yes.

25 THE COURT: February 2014 -- or, I'm sorry, July 2014.

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 MR. MORRISSEY: Nonetheless, it has not been disclosed
2 to the defense, and so it is being proceeded by proffer, and it
3 is new information for this Court's determination, for which we
4 have no ability to test it by cross-examination. That's my
5 objection.

6 THE COURT: All right. Your objection is noted.
7 Thank you.

8 MS. SCHEEL: In this post the defendant wanted this
9 other individual, who apparently was out of the country, to
10 join him in the United States, to go into business with him,
11 and advised that he could go -- come into the United States
12 through Mexico, because the borders are, quote, long and open.
13 So he seems to be aware of the ability to cross the Mexican
14 border both into and out of the United States fairly easily.

15 Could I have a moment, Your Honor?

16 THE COURT: Certainly.

17 MS. SCHEEL: So, Your Honor, based on the facts that
18 are in the Complaint and the additional facts that have been
19 proffered today, the Government -- and the presumption that the
20 defendant's both a flight and danger, the Government submits
21 that this defendant -- there are no conditions reasonably -- to
22 reasonably assure the defendant's appearance in court, either
23 here or in the Southern District of New York. And there are no
24 conditions sufficient to ensure the safety of the community
25 based on these facts and the presumption.

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Scheel.

2 When you began your remarks you indicated that the
3 Government asserts that the presumption applies under
4 3142(c)(3) -- did you say (C) or (B)?

5 MS. SCHEEL: Let me see if I can find it again.

6 (e)(3)(C). This is a crime of terrorism, and where --
7 I think it's Count 3 has a sentence of ten years or more.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

9 Mr. Morrissey?

10 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, first as to the entirety
11 of the Government's proffer of statements that were made that
12 are not contained within the Complaint, and they're not
13 contained within the Indictment, I believe the Court should
14 give those very little weight.

15 There is zero foundation tying the defendant to
16 actually being the person who posted that -- those statements,
17 if they were made at all, on his Facebook account.

18 Dealing explicitly with what is in the Indictment and
19 in the charges, what the Indictment says is that Mr. El Gammal
20 communicated through social media. That, of course, is a First
21 Amendment protected right. There's no statement as to what was
22 said, or that Mr. El Gammal did anything more than exercise his
23 First Amendment rights.

24 There's no allegation that Mr. El Gammal received
25 military training.

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 There's no allegation that Mr. El Gammal knew that
2 CC-1 was receiving military training. The Government does not
3 even allege that Mr. El Gammal knew that CC-1 was traveling to
4 Turkey or Syria. The Government merely says that Mr. El Gammal
5 knew that the individual may travel -- or discussed the
6 individual traveling to the Middle East.

7 There is no specification of any overt act that
8 Mr. El Gammal did with respect to any military type training.

9 The statement today by Miss Scheel that the Complaint
10 alleges that CC-1 referred to joining ISIL as a job, I believe
11 that's a misstatement. In the Complaint at paragraph 30 it
12 certainly refers to communications from CC-1, but then the
13 affiant goes on to say it is his belief. In other words, it's
14 his inference that CC-1 is making a reference to ISIL.

15 On its face those statements certainly do not indicate
16 any knowledge on the part of Mr. El Gammal that CC-1 was doing
17 anything on behalf of ISIL. So that inference simply goes too
18 far for today.

19 There is a presumption in this case, but the
20 presumption is defeated by the length of his residence in
21 Arizona and in the United States, and his steady employment.
22 And I have a cite for that, which is *U.S. v. Giampa*, 755
23 F. Supp. 665, Western District of Pennsylvania, 1990.

24 The defendant has lived lawfully in the United States
25 for 18 years. He's a United States citizen, and has been a

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 citizen for seven years. He has stable employment. He's owned
2 his own business for ten years. He was married for 15 years to
3 a United States citizen.

4 The Government has seized defendant's passport. He
5 has no ability to travel to a foreign country.

6 Pretrial Services, in expressing concern about
7 familial ties, doesn't specify in depth the extent of those
8 familial ties. Because he has a sister in Dubai who he speaks
9 to twice a year by telephone. And his mother. His mother's a
10 lawful permanent resident of the United States. That is not a
11 basis from which to conclude that Mr. El Gammal has a basis --
12 or a sanctuary in a foreign country.

13 There are no issues of substance abuse.

14 He's not on any other type of supervision.

15 He has no failures to appear.

16 He's proven he's able to maintain employment and has
17 done so for the last ten years.

18 The other new information from the Government is,
19 apparently that Mr. El Gammal possesses a drone. There's no
20 allegation that that's a drone with some type of military
21 capability. And, in fact, hundreds of thousands of Americans
22 now possess drones.

23 There's no allegation he possessed a firearm. We will
24 proffer that Mr. El Gammal does not, and that he shoots at the
25 Scottsdale Gun Club. That's the explanation for the

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 ammunition. But there's no -- there's no -- there's no
2 firearms and there's no weapons.

3 The Court has before it knowledge of Mr. El Gammal's
4 current and active ongoing business. Even the AUSA
5 acknowledges that he does business and he's currently doing
6 business with China. This is not an individual who is in the
7 process of ending his business commitments or his employment or
8 leaving Arizona.

9 The conditions then laid out by the Pretrial Services'
10 report, which note that there should be a home assessment to
11 determine if his residence is suitable for location monitoring
12 for this United States citizen, who's not accused directly of
13 doing anything more than participating on social media. It is
14 entirely proper for this Court to find that Pretrial Services
15 has gotten it right, and allow that evaluation of the home
16 assessment.

17 I would note that Pretrial Services has changed its
18 recommendation as to who is an appropriate third-party
19 custodian. We were told Wednesday when we left court that
20 there would not be an updated report, now there is. Okay.

21 So the defense would ask, and has spoken with Pretrial
22 Services, that if the Court permits an evaluation of the home
23 assessment program to determine if it's suitable for location
24 monitoring, that a new third-party custodian be appointed,
25 which would be Mr. El Gammal's ex-mother-in-law. She is here

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 today. She is available to be interviewed.

2 Pretrial Services has indicated -- I believe indicated
3 that she can be interviewed today. I don't know when they
4 would have the results of that report, but she's here and we
5 can get that accomplished today.

6 So the defense would ask the Court to follow the
7 recommendations of Pretrial Services. There's been no showing
8 that he's a flight risk. There's no showing certainly by clear
9 and convincing evidence that he's a danger. This is a
10 long-time citizen, long-time self-employed citizen of the
11 United States.

12 He will have to answer to these charges in New York,
13 but he should be permitted to do so under his own power and to
14 get to New York on his own.

15 May I have one moment, Your Honor?

16 THE COURT: Certainly.

17 (Discussion held off the record)

18 MR. MORRISSEY: My final point, Your Honor, is that we
19 would proffer that, as proof that Mr. El Gammal has no plans to
20 leave the United States, in addition to not having any
21 passport, he does have three shipments from China coming in
22 overseas within the next couple weeks. We believe
23 investigation would show that his business is active and
24 ongoing, and not in the process of ending.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Morrissey, can you tell me more about

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 Mr. El Gammal's employment? The Pretrial Services' report
2 indicates he's self employed buying and selling vehicles since
3 2005. The Government made a reference to China, as you have as
4 well. Can you explain more to me about this business and how
5 it's tied to Phoenix?

6 MR. MORRISSEY: Yes. He's, I believe --

7 Let me consult with him, because I want to make sure
8 everything I say is accurate.

9 (Discussion held off the record.)

10 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, the nature of the
11 equipment coming from China tends to be machinery that enables
12 Mr. El Gammal to work on cars that he then fixes and sells out
13 of the physical structure noted in the Pretrial Services'
14 report.

15 THE COURT: And that is what's listed as his
16 employment address --

17 MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, Cars R US.

18 THE COURT: -- on 27th Avenue?

19 MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: And that's a warehouse or a structure of
21 some sort --

22 MR. MORRISSEY: Yes.

23 THE COURT: -- where he maintains automobiles?

24 MR. MORRISSEY: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Does he own that property or lease it? Or

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 what's his connection to it?

2 MR. MORRISSEY: I don't believe he owns it. May I
3 check?

4 (Discussion held off the record.)

5 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, he pays rent to the owner.

6 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morrissey.

7 Miss Scheel?

8 MS. SCHEEL: Your Honor, I just want to clarify one
9 thing, that Mr. Morrissey indicated that there's no evidence
10 that the defendant knew that CC-1 went to Syria to fight for
11 ISIL. Specifically in the Complaint paragraph 36, the affiant,
12 Agent Nguyen, viewed the publically available portion of CC-1's
13 Facebook account, and in it is a picture of CC-1 standing in
14 front of what appears to be a mural of a Syrian political
15 figure which has been riddled with bullets. And in the
16 photograph CC-1 is wearing camouflage pants and raising his
17 index finger in a gesture which, based on the affiant's
18 training and experience, he understands to be a sign of support
19 for ISIL.

20 In addition, in the search warrant of CC-1's Facebook
21 account, in May of 2015 CC-1 had a conversation with the
22 defendant in which he said that his training was progressing as
23 expected. Specifically that he said, I'm doing well, and I
24 just want to let you know I'm safe and secure and everything is
25 going according to plan.

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 THE COURT: Miss Scheel, you had referenced some
2 social media exchanges from May 2015, and information that was
3 updated pursuant to a search warrant as recently as yesterday
4 or earlier this week. That information was not included in the
5 Statement of Probable Cause in the Complaint, it was
6 information with respect to transferring funds out of the
7 country, or possibly doing so, and information that could be
8 interpreted as coded communications indicating a plan or a
9 willingness or a desire to travel out of the United States.

10 That is fairly critical information if it can be
11 substantiated. Can the Government disclose or produce that
12 information to Mr. Morrissey, like today or Monday morning?

13 MS. SCHEEL: I can produce especially the one that's
14 in English. Some of them are in Arabic and have not -- have
15 only had a preliminary translation and not a final translation.
16 I can show him the preliminary translation. FBI has requested
17 that the preliminary translation not be turned over for
18 discovery purposes at this point. I'm just proffering what the
19 preliminary translation was.

20 THE COURT: And so which of those communications is
21 based on the preliminary translation?

22 MS. SCHEEL: The one that is in English is the one
23 about him wanting to go to Syria in mid August, and talking
24 about the parking lot at CC-1's job. That's in English.

25 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to continue the

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 detention hearing. I'm going to direct Pretrial Services to do
2 two things.

3 First, interview Mr. El Gammal's former mother-in-law
4 to see if she is an appropriate third-party custodian, and to
5 conduct the necessary investigation.

6 I'm also going to direct Pretrial Services to conduct
7 an investigation of Mr. El Gammal's residence to determine if
8 it is suitable for location monitoring.

9 I'm going to ask them to make this a priority, as
10 Mr. El Gammal has been detained since earlier this week.

11 And I'm going to continue the detention hearing until
12 Tuesday morning. It is Friday, late afternoon. That will give
13 Pretrial Services one business day to accomplish this. And to
14 provide supplemental report to the Court and to counsel with
15 the results of both of those matters, whether there's an
16 appropriate third-party custodian. The defendant has suggested
17 his former mother-in-law. And whether his residence is
18 suitable for location monitoring.

19 I am not making any determination on release at this
20 time. I don't think I can do so without that information.

21 I'm also going to direct the Government to disclose to
22 the defendant the communications that are in English. If you
23 do not disclose to them the other preliminary translations, I'm
24 not going to rely upon any information that has not been
25 disclosed to them when we reconvene on Tuesday.

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 And I'm not making a decision now, which I know
2 prevents either party from appealing a decision because it
3 doesn't exist at this point. So we'll deal with that issue on
4 Tuesday.

5 In the event that I should decide to release
6 Mr. El Gammal, and the Government doesn't appeal or object that
7 decision, I'm going to order that the hearing be bag and
8 baggage, and that Mr. El Gammal's belongings be brought to the
9 courthouse with him. If I don't order that he be released,
10 then his belongings can be returned to CCA with him. If the
11 Government seeks a stay and appeal we can address that at that
12 time as well.

13 As Judge Boyle advised the Government earlier this
14 week, should I decide to release Mr. El Gammal and the
15 Government seeks a stay, I'm not going to grant a long stay.
16 So the Government needs to be prepared to file immediately.
17 And I will probably give them 24 to 48 hours for a stay.

18 MS. SCHEEL: That's fine, Your Honor. I've spoken to
19 the Assistant U.S. Attorneys in the Southern District of
20 New York. They've indicated they will be filing an appeal, and
21 they have an appeal ready.

22 THE COURT: They're ready to proceed? Okay.

23 So we'll convene at 10:30, I believe, 10:30 Tuesday
24 morning. And that's early enough in the day that, depending on
25 what occurs, the prosecutors in New York can take whatever

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 steps they wish to take that day.

2 I see the Pretrial Services Officer.

3 I've given you a short time frame, is that going to be
4 sufficient for you to accomplish the task?

5 MR. LARA: That's enough time, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you,
7 Mr. Lara.

8 Mr. Morrissey?

9 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to ask two
10 things.

11 One, may I have a two-sentence rebuttal to the
12 paragraph 36 allegation?

13 THE COURT: Mr. Morrissey, I think I already know your
14 rebuttal, which is that the paragraph 36 information relates to
15 communications from July of 2015, which was long after CC-1 is
16 alleged to have left the United States and traveled to Turkey,
17 which means it would be long after the time frame in which the
18 Government would be asserting that he knew -- that
19 Mr. El Gammal knew that CC-1 was going to go to Turkey.

20 MR. MORRISSEY: And there's no evidence that
21 Mr. El Gammal saw it, as opposed to CC-1 posting it. The FBI
22 saw it. There's no evidence that Mr. El Gammal saw it.

23 May I confer with the AUSA? Because I'm going to ask
24 the Court to modify its order in one respect, and I want to see
25 if the Government objects.

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1 THE COURT: Certainly.

2 (Discussion held off the record.)

3 MR. MORRISSEY: Your Honor, with respect to which
4 house should be evaluated for home monitoring, since
5 Mr. El Gammal's lease was up and he was in the process of
6 moving, we don't have a residence to offer Pretrial to
7 evaluate.

8 Since we have proposed a third-party custodian, we
9 would ask that that be the house that be evaluated for home
10 monitoring.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Morrissey, the Pretrial Services'
12 report indicated that Mr. El Gammal's mother was residing with
13 him. Is she residing with him in the leased property, which is
14 -- apparently he's about to leave or vacate?

15 MR. MORRISSEY: She is now residing with the ex-wife.
16 But that's not the same location of the custodian we're
17 proposing, who is the ex-mother-in-law.

18 THE COURT: All right. And the other information that
19 I will want from Pretrial Services is, who will be residing in
20 that residence. Presumably Mr. El Gammal's former
21 mother-in-law, and Mr. El Gammal if it's an approved residence,
22 and anyone else who's going to be residing there, need to know
23 that as well.

24 All right. We'll modify that then. The Pretrial
25 Services will investigate that residence and will obtain the

—15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015—

1 address information today from Mr. El Gammal's former
2 mother-in-law, who you reported is here in the courtroom.

3 Also, just to be clear, the detention hearing is
4 continued until next Tuesday, September 1st, 2015, at
5 10:30 a.m. It will be here in courtroom 304.

6 Is there anything else that we need to address this
7 afternoon?

8 MR. MORRISSEY: No, Your Honor.

9 MS. SCHEEL: No, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

11 The hearing's adjourned.

12
13 -oOo-
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

15-8378MJ - August 28, 2015

1
2
3
4 C E R T I F I C A T E
5

6 I, CANDY L. POTTER, court-approved transcriber,
7 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
8 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
9 above-entitled matter.
10

11 DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 18th day of
12 September, 2015.
13
14
15

16 s/Candy L. Potter
17 Candy L. Potter
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25